



Preventing Adverse Drug Events: Tools and Updates

In Partnership with the Indiana Hospital Association

John B. Hertig, PharmD, MS, CPPS Associate Director Purdue University College of Pharmacy Center for Medication Safety Advancement

Objectives

The objectives for today's webinar include:

- Provide an overview of the Partnership for Patients and Coalition for Care initiative
- Discuss toolkits and resources available through the Purdue University College of Pharmacy Center for Medication Safety Advancement
- Review Partnership for Patients ADE aligned measures and strategies for gathering the data



Partnership for Patients

The 40/20 Goal

Keep patients from getting injured or sicker.
 Reduce preventable hospital-acquired conditions by 40%.
 1.8 million fewer injuries to patients, with more than 60,000 lives saved over the next three years.

Help patients heal without complication.

Reduce all hospital readmissions by 20%.

1.6 million patients will recover from illness without suffering a preventable complication requiring re-hospitalization within 30 days of discharge.



Ten Priority Areas of Focus

Hospital Engagement Network hospitals are required to address ten harms/areas of focus (or as many as are relevant to the organization):

- Adverse Drug Events
- Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infections
- Central Line Associated Blood Stream Infections
- Injuries from Falls and Immobility
- Obstetrical Adverse Events (and EED focus)
- Pressure Ulcers
- Surgical Site Infections
- Venous Thromboembolism
- Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia
- Reducing Readmissions



Partnership for Patients AIM statement: Four Calls to AHA/HRET Hospitals

• Reduce harm across the board. It is a call for

hospitals to produce reductions in every type of harm.

- **Take a systemic approach.** It is a call to transform the organization and its practices to eliminate all the causes of harm. "Using every means at our disposal."
- Make your safety transparent to all. It is a call for hospitals to define themselves by their safety performance; define themselves to their employees, doctors, patients and the community.
- Make safety personal & compelling. Make every incident of harm a personal patient story that propels the institution to higher levels of performance.



Evaluation

- Webinar funded by CMS through the Partnership for Patients
- CMS reviews results and wants 80% of participants to evaluate educational sessions
- Please complete the simple three question evaluation by June 25, 2014.
 <u>https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/2014_06_17</u>
 <u>ADEResourcesCoaching</u>



Outline

• Medication Safety Alliance review

– Measures initiative

- ADE Change Package overview
- Anticoagulation tool-kit
- Standardized IV concentrations
- Medication Safety Essentials continuing education
- Questions and answers



About CMSA

- Center for Medication Safety Advancement

 Division of Purdue University College of Pharmacy
- Discovery and delivery of safe medication practices
- Innovation and collaboration
 - Healthcare practitioners
 - Faculty and staff
 - Students and learners
 - Patients, families, and caregivers
- Research, education and outreach



Indiana AHA-HRET HEN Summary

- 119 Indiana hospitals aligned with IHA/HRET
- Includes:
 - 26 critical access hospitals
 - 7 psychiatric hospitals
 - 5 rehabilitation hospitals
 - 4 long term acute hospitals
- Represents opportunities for statewide engagement
 - Leverage state resources, including academic partners



Medication Safety Alliance (MSA)

- Purpose
- Framework
- Partnerships
 - Over 30 hospitals
 - More than 45 healthprofessionals
- Pharmacist's Role
 - Path for involvement





ENTER FOR MEDICATION SAFETY ADVANCEMENT

MSA Structure





CENTER FOR MEDICATION SAFETY ADVANCEMENT

Educating

- Medication Safety Essentials Courses
 - On-line, on-demand course
 - 15 CE hours for physicians, nurses and pharmacists
- Live lectures
- Coaching calls
- The "road show"
 - Visits to regional coalitions





ENTER FOR MEDICATION AFETY ADVANCEMENT

Sharing

- Nationally and locally
- Today's webinar!
 - Opportunities to learn from others' successes
 - Share best practices
- Create a forum for medication safety-related improvement efforts
- MSA web portal
 - Members only



Supporting

- Self-assessment tool
 - Focused on high-risk medications leading to readmissions
 - Help identify areas for additional research and rootcause analysis
- Coaching calls, and...
- New webinars rolling out in 2014
 - Focus on gaps identified by the Alliance
 - Self-assessment data
- Continued work and alignment with statewide patient safety coalitions



State Measures Initiative

- Nationally, ADE reporting is lowest of all harm categories
- Measures adopted as statewide areas of emphasis for reporting adverse drug events as part of the MSA – Focus on outcomes
- Purpose is to identify best practices and share improvement strategies throughout Indiana
- Make it simple to report
 - Provide a pathway
 - Ensure the value proposition is clear for members



Outcome Measures

Indicator Name	Definition	Numerator	Denominator	Sources	EOM
Excessive Anticoagulation with Warfarin - Inpatients	All inpatients who had excessive anticoagulation with warfarin	Inpatients experiencing excessive anti- coagulation with warfarin (INR greater than 6)	Inpatients receiving warfarin anti- coagulation therapy	AHA/HRET EOM; CMS ISMP Trigger Alert List	ADE 12
Manifestions of Poor emic Cont	Inpatients who experienced manifestations of poor glycemic control during hospitalization	Patients experiencing the following CC/MCC codes as a secondary diagnosis: 250.10-250.13 250.20-250.23 251.0 (CC) 249.10-249.11 249.20-249.21	All acute care inpatient discharges	AHA/HRET EOM; CMS Hospital Acquired Conditions	ADE 110



Process Measures

Heart Failure Discharge Instruction (HF-1)	Heart failure patients discharged home with written instructions or education material given to patient or caregiver at discharge or during the hospital stay	HF patients with documented D/C instructions, addressing: activity level, diet, weight monitoring, medications, appointments, and other relevant info	HF patients discharged home	AHA/HRET EOM; National Hospital Quality Measures; The Joint Commission	ADE 9
Maintenance of Active Medication Allergy List (CMS MU)	Number of patients with a medication allergy or indication of No Known Allergies (NKA) recorded	Number of patients with at least one entry or an indication of NKA recorded on a medication allergy list	Number of unique patients admitted to the hospital	AHA/HRET EOM; CMS Meaningful Use	ADE 7
Automated Dispensing Cabinets Override Rates	Automated dispensing cabinets (ADC) medication withdrawals occurring without review	Number of medication withdrawals from an ADC that were removed by "override"	All medication removal activity from ADCs	ASHP Best Practices	Indiana specific



Targeting Anticoagulation Harm





CENTER FOR MEDICATION SAFETY ADVANCEMENT

The Plan

- Leverage Medication Safety Alliance
 - Communication
 - Best practice sharing/storytelling
 - Coaching/webinars
- Resource development
 - Toolkit
 - Checklists
 - Tip sheets
- <u>Deliberate</u> focus on data tracking and transparency





Anticoagulation Toolkit



Improving Safety through Anticoagulation Therapy Management



PURDUE CENTER FOR MEDICATION SAFETY ADVINCEMENT **Table of Contents**





CENTER FOR MEDICATION SAFETY ADVANCEMENT

2



* Coalition for Care Indiana Hospital Association IHA's Hospital Engagement Network INDIANA PATIENT SAFETY CENTER

Indiana Adverse Drug Event (ADE) Measures

Indicator Name: Excessive Anticoagulation with Warfarin (Inpatients)

Type: Outcome

Definition: All inpatients experiencing excessive anticoagulation with warfarin. Excessive anticoagulation is determined when the International Normalized Ratio (INR) is greater than 6. Patients are in greater risk for bleeding complications.

Inclusion Criteria: Inpatients experiencing excessive anticoagulation with warfarin. Patients must have received at least one dose of warfarin while admitted.

Exclusion Criteria: Patients admitted from the Emergency Department (ED) and observation patients (less than 24 hours).

Calculation:

Numerator: Inpatients experiencing excessive anti-coagulation with warfarin (INR>6) Denominator: Inpatients receiving warfarin anti-coagulation therapy

Related Articles:

- Denas G, Marzot F, Offelli P, et al. Effectiveness and safety of a management protocol to correct over-anticoagulation with oral vitamin K: a retrospective study of 1,043 cases. J Thromb Thrombolysis 2009; (3):340-7.
- Hylek EM, Regan S, Go AS, et al. Clinical predictors of prolonged delay in return of the international normalized ratio to within the therapeutic range after excessive anticoagulation with warfarin. Ann Intern Med 2001; 135(6):393-400.
- Hanslik T, Prinseau J. The use of vitamin K in patients on anticoagulant therapy: a practical guide. Am J Cardiovasc Drugs 2004; 4(1):43-55.



Continued Focus: Anticoagulation

- Toolkit
- Previously recorded webinars on IHA website
- Ongoing support

Anticoagulants					
Indicator Name:	2: Excessive Anticoagulation with Warfarin -				
	Inpatients (ADE-12)				
Numerator:	All patients experiencing excessive				
	anticoagulation with warfarin ("excessive"				
	is organization-defined).				
Denominator:	Inpatients receiving warfarin anticoagulation				
	therapy				



Next Focus: Hypoglycemia and Opioids





CENTER FOR MEDICATION SAFETY ADVANCEMENT





- New, narrower focus on warfarin, opioids, and insulin
- Incorporates 2013 ADA standards of diabetes care
- Provides expanded change ideas, especially regarding opioids
- Tools and updated references
 - CMSA will provide additional resources!



Next Focus: Hypoglycemia

Insulin

Indicator Name:Hypoglycemia in Inpatients Receiving
Insulin (ADE-13)Numerator:Hypoglycemia in inpatients receiving
insulin or other hypoglycemic agents

(e.g. hypoglycemia defined as plasma glucose concentration of 50 mg per dl or less).

Denominator: Inpatients receiving insulin or other hypoglycemic agent.



Next Focus: Opioids

OploIds

Indicator Name: ADE's due to OploIds (ADE-11) = EOM ADE - 111

- Numerator: Number of patients treated with opioids who received naloxone during the review period.
- Denominator: Number of inpatients and patients in hospital outpatient departments who received an opioid agent during the review period. Exclusion: ED patients; naloxone use for nausea or pruritus.



Measurement Questions?





CENTER FOR MEDICATION SAFETY ADVANCEMENT

Anticoagulation Toolkit

Katelyn Brown, PharmD John B. Hertig, PharmD, MS, CPPS Purdue University College of Pharmacy Center for Medication Safety Advancement



CENTER FOR MEDICATION SAFETY ADVANCEMENT

Anticoagulation ADE Webinar

- October 2013
 - Pharmacists Role in Anticoagulation
 - Best Practices in Care of Transitions of Anticoagulation
 - Medication Reconciliation and Health Literacy



Anticoagulation Safety Toolkit



Improving Safety through Anticoagulation Therapy Management





CENTER FOR MEDICATION SAFETY ADVANCEMENT



CENTER FOR MEDICATION SAFETY ADVANCEMENT

Table of Contents





CENTER FOR MEDICATION SAFETY ADVANCEMENT

Chapter 1: Self Assessments

- Warfarin Management
- Health Literacy



Self-Assessment – Warfarin Mgmt

Warfarin Management Self-assessment						
Element of Performance	Safe Practices		Self- assessment Score*	Level of Implementation Difficulty**		
Use approved protocols for the initiation and	- Warfarin Dosing protocol	A, B, C	3	I		
maintenance of anticoagulant therapy	 Tracking form for managing patients on warfarin is used and provided to patient and patient's outpatient provider 	C,D	4	L		

*Self-Assessment of Safe Practices Self-scoring Scale

- 1. There is no discussion around this activity
- 2. This activity is under discussion, but there is no implementation plan
- 3. This activity is partially implemented in some or all areas of the organization
- 4. This activity is fully implemented in some areas of the organization
- 5. This activity is implemented in all areas of the organization N/A This activity is not applicable

**Level of Implementation Difficulty

Low (L)= Safe practice recommendation is in place with few adjustments needed to meet expectation.

Intermediate (I)= Safe practice recommendation may or may not be in place.

High (H)= Safe practice recommendation is not in place.



Self-Assessment- Health Literacy

1.Improve Spoken Communication

	Doing Well	Needs Improvement	Not Doing	Not Sure	Importan	ce Tools to Help*
				or N/A		
1. Staff members have received awareness an					***	1-Form Team 3-Raise
sensitivity training about health literacy						Awareness
issues.						
2. All levels of practice staff have agreed to		Π			***	1-Form Team
support changes to improve patient		2				3-Raise
understanding.						Awareness

Please select one answer that most accurately describes your practice:					
Doing Well	Our practice is doing this well				
Needs Improvement	Our practice is doing this, but could do it better				
Not Doing	Our practice is not doing this				
Not Sure	I don't know the answer to this question				
N/A	This is not applicable to our practice				

Importance: * Beneficial ** More Beneficial *** Most Beneficial

Adapted from AHRQ Universal Precautions Health Literacy Toolkit



CENTER FOR MEDICATION SAFETY ADVANCEMENT

Chapter 2: Improving Anticoagulation Processes

- Project Plan
- Developing AIM Statements
- Model for Improvement



Project Plan

		Month 2	Month 3	Month 4	Month 5
Review Toolkit					
Understand Anticoagulant Safe Practices					
Conduct Self-assessment of Current Practices					
Assess your current practices (Self-assessment)	•				
Validate assessment results by observation and/or interview	•				
Review baseline data if available	•				
Improving Anticoagulant Process					
Select Project Team Members		•			
Develop Project Plan		•			
Develop Communication Plan for project milestones		•			
Formulate AIMs statements for identified gaps in performance		•			
Determine ongoing measures to support the AIMs statements		•			
Develop ideas to create new processes		•	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow
Run tests of change using Plan, Do Study, Act worksheets		•	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow
Measuring Performance (Chapter 3)					
Collect and display data/results for self-assessments, FMEAs, Tests of			•	\rightarrow	\rightarrow
Changes tired, overall AIMs for improvement					
Use tool for measuring harm from ADE's and display results			•	\rightarrow	\rightarrow
Spreading and Sustaining Improvement					
Determine plan for spreading improvement				•	\rightarrow
Determine plan for sustaining improvemtn				•	\rightarrow



CENTER FOR MEDICATION SAFETY ADVANCEMENT

Developing AIM Statements

• State the Team AIMS

Be clear, concise, targeted. The team will make better progress if the AIM is specific.

• Include Numerical Goals

- A numerical goal will help the team measure improvement and progress. Quantify the aim by marrying the AIM to a Baseline Measure, which over time will indicate improvement and with planning rapid tests of change using the PLAN-DO-STUDY-ACT cycle.
- Do not accept minimal improvement- strive for success.
- Maintain focus on the goal
- Fifty-percent improvement can easily slip to 40% or 30%, but only if the team choses to accept less.
- Example:
 - Reduce the number of ADE associated with warfarin by x% Unit A within 6 months



Model for Improvement

Cycle for Learning and Improvement

<u>PLAN</u>

Ask Questions:

• Will protocol be acceptable for use at this hospital?

Make Predictions:

MDs will find it easy to use?
 *=(Y or N)

Plan for collection of Data:

• Who? When? Where?

<u>STUDY</u>

- Complete analysis of data
- Summarize what was learned

<u>D0</u>

- Carry out the change or test, collect data and begin analysis
- Ex: Team asks one MD, RN, PharmD to stimulate use of protocol on one pt by next Tuesday. Group meets to review pt chart and list recommended modifications

<u>ACT</u>

- Determine if you are ready to make the change
- Ex: modify protocol based on test of change





Chapter 3: Measuring Performance

- Process Measures
- Outcome Measures



Process Measure

- Examples of Process Measures
 - Percentage of Warfarin patients receiving correct meal plan (to assure that Nutrition Services are informed of all patients on Warfarin therapy)
 - Time frame for receipt of lab results by care providers over time.
 - Time to therapeutic levels
 - Percentage of patients receiving warfarin with INR outside protocol limits



Outcome Measures

Measurement: Reduction in Warfarin Related ADEs





Outcome Measures

Goal	Recent Activity	Status	Stakeholder	Projected
				Completion Date
Reduce percent of patients with INR >5 by 20%	Updated warfarin dosing protocol(10/4/13)		KEB	12/31/13

Factors used to determine current status: Goal clarity (are there clear objectives and direction) and goal timeline. If a goal is on time and on target then it should be **green**. If the goal timeline is at risk or if there are some questions as to objective, the goal should be **yellow**. If the project is past the goal completion date or if progress has ceased due to a roadblock, the project is **red**.



Additional Resources

Source	Website	Information Provided
Warfarin Manag	gement	
Warfarin Dosing	www.warfarindosing.org	 Dose Calculator Considers many factors such as genetics and medications
My Blood Thinner	www.mybloodthinner.org	 Resources for patients, providers, and caregivers Information in Spanish is available
America's	www.ptinr.com	 Patient education
Anticoagulation Resource		 Great for at home INR testing`
University of	http://www.med.umich.edu/cv	 Guidance on dose adjustment
Michigan	c/prof/anticoag/dose.htm	
CHEST Guidelines	http://www.chestnet.org/Guid elines-and- Resources/Guidelines-and- Consensus- Statements/Antithrombotic- Guidelines-9th-Ed	 Recommended guidelines for warfarin management
Spectrum Health	http://www.spectrumhealth.or	 Patient education
	g/anticoagulationtoolkit	 Dosing algorithms
		- Point-of-Care Testing
	Plot Area	- Documentation
Pharmacist Run	Clinic	- Anticoagulation competency
AZCERT, INC	http://www.crediblemeds.org/ files/2113/7961/4352/Patient Agreement.pdf	- Patient Contract
St. Luke's	http://www.stlukesonline.org/f	 Example of collaborative practice agreement



CENTER FOR MEDICATION SAFETY ADVANCEMENT

Development of a Statewide Standardized List of Drug Concentrations

Indiana Hospital Association Patient Safety Coalitions

John B. Hertig, PharmD, MS, CPPS Dan Degnan, PharmD, MS, CPPS Purdue University College of Pharmacy Center for Medication Safety Advancement



Standard IV Concentrations

- San Diego Council for Patient Safety
 - Work published as toolkit in 2009
 - Replicated by others in Indiana



- 3 of 11 Patient Safety Coalitions
 - Developed standardized list of concentrations
 - Southwest, Northeast and Indianapolis
 - Interest in expanding work
 - Standardization among coalitions recommended
 - Why not a Statewide list?



The Need to Standardize

- Improved safety as a result of reduced variation and complexity
- Elevated risk awareness among healthcare clinicians
 - Patients and healthcare professionals move across settings
 - Some hospital nursing staff turnover rate can be as high as 28%
- Enhanced ability to benchmark and compare med related technology (BCMA, Smart Pumps, etc)
- Enhanced compliance with external accrediting bodies
 Thoughtful process vs. Traditional methods



Process to Develop the List

- Comparison of lists of standard IV concentrations
 - Northeast, Southwest and Indianapolis coalition lists
 - Published literature
 - Work from San Diego Patient Safety Council
 - Published survey results from USP expert committee on medication safety
 - Publicly available IV concentration lists
 - Organizations with concentration lists identified through an internet search engine
 - Non-pediatric hospitals were included
- Initial compiled list contained 69 concentrations

Represented 37 different medications



Process to Develop the List

- Initial list of 69 concentrations pared down to 34 concentrations
 - Based on existing coalition lists
 - Consensus existed around 19 concentrations
- Meeting of statewide panel of physicians and pharmacists
 - Represented regional coalitions and major healthsystems
 - Consensus developed around 9 additional concentrations
- Final statewide list contains 28 IV concentrations



Process to Develop the List

Initial list of 69 IV concentrations are compiled from Indiana coalition members, published literature, and publicly available hospital lists



34 of the 69 concentrations are on at least one of three Indiana regional coalition lists



19 of the 34 concentrations among the three Indiana regional coalitions are already the same



A group of pharmacists and physicians representing various major health-systems and patient safety coalitions meet to discuss consensus around the remaining 15 concentrations from the list of 34

Consensus is established for a final list of 28 concentrations for use on the statewide IV concentration list



SAFFTY ADVANCEMENT

Recommended list of IV concentrations for the State of Indiana

Alternate concentrations of dobutamine may be required in procedural areas of healthcare facilities for short term diagnostic purposes

The standardized concentration of magnesium sulfate is intended to describe the use of the medication for obstetric patients. Other concentrations may be used for electrolyte imbalance

Drug and Concentration Amiodarone Bolus 1.5 mg/mL Amiodarone Drip 1.8 mg/mL Argatroban 1 mg/mL Bumetanide 0.25 mg/mL Cisatricurium 0.4 mg/ml Dexmedetomidine 4 mcg/mL Dobutamine 4000 mcg/mL (non-procedural) * Eptifibatide 750 mcg/mL Esmolol 10 mg/mL Fentanyl 10 mcg/mL Furosemide 10 mg/mL Heparin 100 units/mL Isoproterenol 4 mcg/mL Insulin 1 unit/mL Labetalol 2 mg/mL Lidocaine 4 mg/mL MgSO4 (Obstetric) 0.04 gm/mL** Morphine Drip 1 mg/mL Morphine PCA 1 mg/mL Midazolam 1 mg/mL Milrinone 200 mcg/mL Nitroglycerin 200 mcg/mL Nitroprusside 200 mcg/mL Norepinephrine 32 mcg/ml Procainamide 4 mg/mL Propofol 10 mg/mL Vasopressin 0.4 units/ml Vecuronium 1 mg/mL



Next Steps

- Adding additional drugs to the list
 - hydromorphone, nicardipine, norepinephrine,
 epinephrine, dopamine are next to be reviewed
- Evaluating units of measure for each concentration

- Several issues identified by participants

• Add members to group

Expertise, geography and organization represented

• Publish work within Indiana



Current Participants

Gary Brazel, St. VincentVernon MTara Jellison, ParkviewDon JuliaEJ Last, IU HealthTodd WalJen Reddan, IU HealthJohn HerrJim Fuller, Indy Safety CoalitionBetsy LeeHeather Jackson, EskenaziLaura StorSonja Damjanoski, FransicanAmy Hyd

Vernon Mass, St. Mary's Don Julian, St. Mary's Todd Walroth, Eskenazi John Hertig, Purdue Betsy Lee, IHA Laura Stock, Deaconness Amy Hyduk, Lutheran

If you are interested in participating in the development process moving forward, please contact Dan Degnan at <u>ddegnan@purdue.edu</u> or 317-275-6087



Other Offerings through Purdue/IHA

- Medication Safety Essentials (MSE) 1.0 and 2.0
 - Online CE webinars targeting healthcare staff
 - Up to 15 hours of accredited medication safety continuing education available for physicians, pharmacists and nurses
- Medication Safety Certificate
 - Anticipated to be available late 2014
 - Will incorporate CE hours from MSE and case based project completion



Other Offerings through Purdue/IHA

MSE 1.0 Topics

- Medication Errors and Safety Practices
- Errors in Prescribing and Transcribing
- Errors in Dispensing
- Errors in Administration and Monitoring
- Continuous Quality Improvement
- Metrics, Scorecards and Dashboards
- Leading for Safety

MSE 2.0 Topics

- Effective Interpersonal
 Communication
- Establishing a Culture of Safety
- Technology
- Medication Transitions of Care and Medication Reconciliation
- Storytelling
- Anticoagulation
- Medication Safety of Antihyperglycemics
- Management of Opioids (coming soon)



Other Offerings through Purdue/IHA

- Medication Safety Portal (i.e. enhanced website)
 - Published toolkits
 - Medication Safety News
 - Sample policies and procedures
 - Relevant journal club reviews
 - Regular medication safety blog entries
 - Difficult to find medication safety resources
 - Official FDA Tall Man lettering list
 - All 52 TJC sentinel event alerts
 - Official REMs transcript approvals



Questions?





CENTER FOR MEDICATION SAFETY ADVANCEMENT





Preventing Adverse Drug Events: Tools and Updates

In Partnership with the Indiana Hospital Association

John B. Hertig, PharmD, MS, CPPS Associate Director Purdue University College of Pharmacy Center for Medication Safety Advancement

Save the Date: July 30

- ADE Mini-Collaborative
 - HRET/Cynosure/IHA/CMSA
 - Morning session
 - Prior to the in-person Improvement Leader
 Fellowship in Indianapolis
 - Location TBD



Evaluation

- Webinar funded by CMS through the Partnership for Patients
- CMS reviews results and wants 80% of participants to evaluate educational sessions
- Please complete the simple three question evaluation by June 25, 2014.
 <u>https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/2014_06_17</u>
 <u>ADEResourcesCoaching</u>





Thank you

